

THE CAUCHY-CROFTON FORMULA AND THE WHITNEY ARC PROPERTY FOR DEFINABLE SETS

ELISA VASQUEZ RIFO

ABSTRACT. We use the Cauchy-Crofton formula to show that every $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded definable cell in an O-minimal expansion of a field $\mathbb{F} \geq \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Whitney arc property.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The purpose of this paper is to apply the Cauchy-Crofton formula to prove that a cell in an O-minimal expansion of an ordered field $\mathbb{F}$ satisfies an analog of the Whitney arc property. A subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the Whitney arc property if there is a $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for all $x, y \in A$ there is a curve γ in A joining x and y with $\text{length}(\gamma) \leq K|x - y|$ (Whitney, [7]).

Kurdyka shows in [4] that subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ have a stratification such that each strata is built out of Lipschitz functions. A consequence is that each strata satisfies the Whitney arc property. The author's thesis [6] contains an analogous result for definable sets in an O-minimal expansion of an ordered field, and Pawlucki [5] proves that every definable set has a decomposition into subsets which, after a permutation of the coordinates, are cells built from Lipschitz functions.

By combining the results of this paper with the cell decomposition theorem we obtain a new, conceptually simpler proof that definable sets have decompositions into pieces which satisfy the Whitney arc property; moreover, any cell decomposition will do, and the curves witnessing the Whitney arc property may be chosen so that they vary definably with their endpoints (theorem 5.6). Berarducci and Otero's work [1] allows one to define the length of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded definable curve.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Patrick Speissegger for suggesting the questions that became the topic of my dissertation [6] and inspired this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

2.1. The Berarducci-Otero measure in an o-minimal structure. We fix an O-minimal expansion of an ordered field extension $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{R}$. A set $A \subset \mathbb{F}^d$ is **$\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded** if there is a $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $A \subset [-q, q]^d$.

We give a description of the real-valued additive measure defined in [1]. This measure is defined on a Boolean algebra of subsets of $\mathbb{F}^n$ which includes the definable $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded subsets of $\mathbb{F}^n$.

Definition 2.1. $B \subset \mathbb{F}^d$ is a **polyrectangle** of dimension d if B is a finite union of rectangles $[q_1, r_1) \times \cdots \times [q_d, r_d)$ with rational coordinates q_i, r_i . The set $\mathcal{PR}^{(d)}(\mathbb{F})$ is the set of polyrectangles of dimension d of $\mathbb{F}$. The **volume** of a rectangle $[q_1, r_1) \times \cdots \times [q_d, r_d)$ is

$$\mu([q_1, r_1) \times \cdots \times [q_d, r_d)) = \prod_{i=1}^d (r_i - q_i).$$

If a polyrectangle P is the disjoint union of rectangles R_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, then $\mu(P) := \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(R_i)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}^d$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded set. The **outer measure** of A is:

$$\mu^*(A) := \inf\{\mu(P) : P \supset A, P \in \mathcal{PR}^{(d)}(\mathbb{F})\}.$$

The *inner measure* of A is:

$$\mu_*(A) := \sup\{\mu(P) : P \subset A, P \in \mathcal{PR}^{(d)}(\mathbb{F})\}.$$

Here the infimum and supremum are taken in $\mathbb{R}$.

A $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded set A is **measurable** if $\mu_*(A) = \mu^*(A)$, and in this case the **measure** of A is defined as $\mu(A) := \mu_*(A) = \mu^*(A)$. One of the main results in [1] is the following

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded definable subset of $\mathbb{F}^d$. Then A is measurable. Moreover, if $\dim(A) < d$ then $\mu(A) = 0$.*

Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}^d$ be $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded. For $f : \mathbb{F}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$\int_A f := \mu([0, f)_A),$$

provided that $[0, f)_A := \{(x, y) : x \in A, 0 \leq y < f(x)\}$ is measurable. For general $f : \mathbb{F}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, we put

$$\int_A f := \int_A f^+ - \int_A f^-,$$

provided both terms on the right exist, where f^+ and f^- are, respectively, the positive and negative part of f .

This integral can be used to define the **length** of a definable C^1 curve $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$, with (a, b) and $\text{Im}(\gamma')$ $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded, by

$$\text{length}(\gamma) := \int_{(a, b)} |\gamma'(x)|.$$

2.2. The Cauchy-Crofton Formula. Let $C_c(M)$ be the collection of compactly supported continuous real valued functions on a manifold M . A **measure** on M is an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping $C_c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that: for each compact $K \subset M$, there is a constant m_K such that for every continuous f with compact support contained in K ,

$$\int_M f \leq m_K \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)|.$$

Let M be a manifold together with a left action of a Lie group G . A measure in M is **G -invariant** if for all $g \in G$ and $f \in C_c(M)$,

$$\int_M f = \int_M f \circ L_g,$$

where $L_g : M \rightarrow M$ denotes the left action by g , that is $L_g(m) = g \cdot m$.

Let G be the group of isometries of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the affine Grassmannian of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, that is, $AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the collection of all affine hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The group G acts on $AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in a canonical way, and $AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a measure which is invariant under the action by G . Furthermore, a G -invariant measure in $AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is unique up to a constant factor.

The Cauchy-Crofton formula expresses the length of a compact, embedded curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the average number of points of intersection of the curve with a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be the group of motions of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then there is a G invariant measure dL on $AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for any compact embedded 1-dimensional submanifold γ of $\mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\text{length}(\gamma) = \int_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |\gamma \cap L| dL,$$

where for $L \in AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $|\gamma \cap L|$ is the number of points of intersection of γ with L .

See [3] 3.18 for a proof.

3. THE LENGTH OF A DEFINABLE $\mathbb{Q}$ -BOUNDED CURVE.

We prove in Theorem 3.3 that any definable $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded curve can be reparametrized by a definable, piecewise map with finite first and second derivatives.

An element x of $\mathbb{F}^n$ is **finite** if it is bounded in magnitude by some natural number, **infinite** otherwise and **infinitesimal** if $|x| < r$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$; in the last case we write $x \approx 0$. For a finite $x \in \mathbb{F}$ the **monad** of x , denoted by $\mu(x)$, consists of all $y \in \mathbb{F}$ with $y - x \approx 0$, we write $y \approx x$ for $y \in \mu(x)$ and we say that y is **infinitesimally close** to x . For finite $x \in \mathbb{F}$ the **standard part** of x is $st(x) := \sup\{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < x\}$. If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is finite, $st(x) := (st(x_1), \dots, st(x_n))$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow (c, d)$ be a definable and twice differentiable function, where $(a, b), (c, d) \subset \mathbb{F}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded. Then f' is finite outside a finite union of monads.*

Proof. For $r \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$, let $A_r := \{x \in (a, b) : |f'(x)| > 1/r\}$. The family $\{A_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}}$ is a definable family of sets. Let $r \approx 0$. By the mean value theorem (see [2]), any interval contained in A_r is of infinitesimal length. Since A_r is definable, it follows from O-minimality that A_r is contained in a finite union of monads; let n_r be the minimum number of monads containing A_r . By cell decomposition, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $r \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ the set A_r is a union of at most N disjoint intervals and points. Let $s \approx 0$ be such that

$$n_s = \max_{r \approx 0} n_r,$$

and let A be the finite union of the n_s monads containing A_s . For $r < s$, $A_r \subset A_s$ so $A_r \subset A$. For $r > s$, $r \approx 0$, $A_s \subset A_r$ so n_s is at most n_r ; since n_s is maximal, $n_r = n_s$ and therefore A_r must be contained in A . f' is finite away from A . $\square$

Let $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{F}$. We define $(a, b)_{\mathbb{R}} := (a, b) \cap \mathbb{R}$. For a function $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ with $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded image we define $\bar{f} : (a, b)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bar{f}(x) = st(f(x))$. Similarly, if $A \subset \mathbb{F}^m$ and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ maps finite elements into finite elements, we define $\bar{f} : A_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\bar{f}(x) = st(f(x))$ where $A_{\mathbb{R}} := A \cap \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow (c, d)$ be a definable and twice differentiable function, where $(a, b), (c, d) \subset \mathbb{F}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded. Suppose that for $x \not\approx a, b$ both f' and f'' are finite. Then $\bar{f}$ is differentiable on the interior of $(a, b)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and for $x \in (a, b)$ with $st(x) \in \text{Int}((a, b)_{\mathbb{R}})$, $st(f'(x)) = \bar{f}'(st(x))$.*

Proof. We first consider the case where $0 \in (a, b)$ but $0 \not\approx a, b$, $f(0) = 0$, and $f'(0) = 0$. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. If $\delta \approx 0$ and $\delta > 0$, then $|\frac{f(h)}{h}| < \epsilon$ whenever $|h| < \delta$. Otherwise there would be a $\delta > 0$, $\delta \approx 0$ and $h \in (a, b)$, $|h| < \delta$ with

$$|\frac{f(h)}{h}| > \epsilon,$$

thus by the mean value theorem there is an x between 0 and h such that $|f'(x)| = |\frac{f(h)}{h}| > \epsilon$, and a z between 0 and x with $|f''(z)| = |\frac{f'(x)}{x}|$, but this last fraction is infinite. This shows that the set

$$\{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{>0} : \text{for all } h \in (a, b), |h| < \delta \implies |\frac{f(h)}{h}| < \epsilon/2\}$$

contains all positive infinitesimals. This set is also definable, so by the cell decomposition theorem it is a finite union of intervals and points and therefore it must contain a positive real δ . This shows that $\bar{f}$ is differentiable at 0 and $\bar{f}'(0) = 0$.

For x_0 in (a, b) with $st(x_0) \in \text{Int}((a, b)_{\mathbb{R}})$, consider the function

$$g(x) := f(x_0 - x) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)x.$$

Since $g(0) = 0$, $g'(0) = 0$ and 0 is not infinitesimally close to the endpoints of $\text{Dom}(g)$, $\bar{g}$ is differentiable at 0 and $\bar{g}'(0) = 0$. It follows that $\bar{f}$ is differentiable at $st(x_0)$ with derivative $st(f'(x_0))$. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\gamma : (a, b) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ be a definable curve with $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded image. Then, there are $a_0 = a < \dots < a_k = b$ such that each restriction $\gamma|_{(a_i, a_{i+1})}$ is either constant or has a reparametrization σ with σ' finite, $\sigma''(x)$ finite for $x \not\approx a_i, a_{i+1}$, and with $\bar{\sigma}$ an embedded C^1 -curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. By the C^1 -cell decomposition theorem γ is piecewise C^1 , so without loss of generality we can assume that γ is C^1 . Also $\gamma' = 0$ in a finite union of intervals and points, and γ is constant on those intervals where $\gamma' = 0$; thus we may assume that $\gamma' \neq 0$. Similarly we can assume that γ is injective.

Let $Gr_1(\mathbb{F}^n)$ be the Grassmannian of 1-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}^n$. Then $Gr_1(\mathbb{F}^n)$ is the disjoint union of the definable sets

$$A_i := \{l \in Gr_1(\mathbb{F}^n) : l = \langle v \rangle, |v_i| \geq |v_j| \text{ for } j \geq i, \text{ and } |v_i| > |v_j| \text{ for } j < i\}.$$

Let $\phi : (a, b) \longrightarrow Gr_1(\mathbb{F}^n)$ be the Gauss map of γ , that is $\phi(t) = \langle \gamma'(t) \rangle$. The sets $\phi^{-1}(A_i)$ are definable, and therefore are a union of intervals and points. Suppose that I is one of these intervals and let $J := \gamma_i(I)$. Since $\gamma'_i \neq 0$ on I , J contains an interval; and since J is a finite union of intervals and points the intermediate value theorem shows that J is a single interval. Moreover, J is $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded. We define $\sigma_I : J \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ as $\sigma_I := \gamma \circ \gamma_i^{-1}$. Then σ_I is a C^1 function since $\gamma_i|_I$ is invertible with C^1 inverse. Moreover, σ'_I is finite: for $x \in J$, $\sigma'_I(x)$ generates the line $\langle \gamma'(\gamma_i^{-1}(x)) \rangle \in A_i$ thus $(\sigma_I)'_i(x) \geq (\sigma_I)'_j(x)$, but $(\sigma_I)'_i(x) = 1$.

By the cell decomposition theorem and Lemma 3.1, there are points $b_0, \dots, b_k$ such that $J = (b_0, b_k)$, σ''_I and σ'''_I exist on (b_i, b_{i+1}) and are finite except possibly on the monads of b_i and b_{i+1} . Lemma 3.2 shows that for the restriction σ of σ_I to one of this subintervals $\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}'$ are differentiable and $\bar{\sigma}' = st(\sigma')$, $\bar{\sigma}'' = st(\sigma'')$. Since $st(\sigma') = \bar{\sigma}'$ it follows that $\bar{\sigma}$ is twice differentiable. Finally, $(\bar{\sigma})_i(t) = t$, therefore for $(c, d) \subset \text{Dom}(\bar{\sigma})$ we have

$$\bar{\sigma}((c, d)) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : c < x_i < d\} \cap \text{Im}(\bar{\sigma})$$

showing that $\bar{\sigma}$ is an open map and therefore an embedding. $\square$

4. THE CAUCHY-CROFTON FORMULA FOR THE BERARDUCCI-OTERO LENGTH

We prove that for a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded, injective, definable curve γ in $\mathbb{F}^n$, the length of γ is the average number of points of intersection of γ with an affine hyperplane defined over $\mathbb{R}$. The proof is a reduction to the standard Cauchy-Crofton formula for the length of a curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The main point is that the number of points of intersection of γ with a hyperplane L defined over the reals is the same as the number of points of intersection of the standard part of γ , namely $\bar{\gamma}$, with the real points of L , as long as L is not tangent to the curve $\bar{\gamma}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : B \longrightarrow [0, q]$ be a definable function, where $B \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded box and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\bar{f}$ is Riemann integrable, and*

$$\int_B f = \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}} \bar{f}$$

Proof. Let P be a polyrectangle. If $P \supset [0, f)$, then $P \supset [0, \bar{f})$. Thus, $\mu^*([0, f)) \geq \mu^*([0, \bar{f}))$. Also, if $P \subset [0, f)$ then $P \subset [0, \bar{f})$. Thus $\mu_*([0, f)) \leq \mu_*([0, \bar{f}))$. Since $[0, f)$ is μ -measurable we get

$$\mu^*([0, \bar{f})) \leq \mu([0, f)) \leq \mu_*([0, \bar{f})).$$

But $\mu^*([0, \bar{f})) \geq \mu_*([0, \bar{f}))$, thus $[0, \bar{f})$ is μ -measurable, so $\bar{f}$ is Riemann integrable. Moreover,

$$\int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}} \bar{f} = \mu([0, f)) = \int_B f.$$

$\square$

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ be a definable curve with $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded image γ' finite and $\gamma''(x)$ finite for $x \not\approx 0, 1$. We will assume that $\bar{\gamma}$ is an embedded C^1 curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f : AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{F}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be the function*

$$f(L) = \begin{cases} |\gamma \cap L| & \text{if } |\gamma \cap L| \text{ is finite} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $f_0 := f|_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, and let $g : AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$g(L) = \begin{cases} |\bar{\gamma} \cap L| & \text{if } |\bar{\gamma} \cap L| \text{ is finite} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} g = \int_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} f_0.$$

Proof. Let $L \in AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{F}^n)$, and denote by $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ the set of $\mathbb{R}$ -points of L . Suppose that $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ intersects $\bar{\gamma}$ transversely and let $p \in \bar{\gamma} \cap L$. Then there are $t_0 < t_1$ such that $\bar{\gamma}[t_0, t_1] \cap L = \{p\}$ and $\bar{\gamma}(t_0), \bar{\gamma}(t_1)$ lie on opposite sides of L . Then $\gamma(t_0), \gamma(t_1)$ must lie on opposite sides of L , so there is a $t \in (t_0, t_1)$ such that $\gamma(t) \in L$. Since $t \approx st(t)$ and γ' is finite, $\gamma(st(t)) \approx \gamma(t)$. Thus $st(\gamma(st(t))) = st(\gamma(t)) \in st(L) = L_{\mathbb{R}}$, i.e. $\bar{\gamma}(st(t)) \in L$. But L intersects $\bar{\gamma}$ only at p when the parameter runs in $[t_0, t_1]$ and $st(t) \in [t_0, t_1]$ so $\bar{\gamma}(st(t)) = p$, in particular $\gamma(t) \approx p$. It follows that $g(L) \leq f(L)$ whenever L is transverse to $\bar{\gamma}$.

On the other hand, if $f(L) > g(L)$ then there are two infinitesimally close points of γ in L , that is, there are $\gamma(t_0), \gamma(t_1) \in L$ with $\gamma(t_0) \approx \gamma(t_1)$ and say $t_0 < t_1$. Since $\bar{\gamma}$ is injective, we have $t_0 \approx t_1$. Assume $t_0 \not\approx 0, 1$. By Lemma 3.2, for all $s, t \approx t_0$, and $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $\gamma'_i(s) \approx \gamma'_i(t)$. By the mean value theorem, there are $u_1, \dots, u_n \in (t_0, t_1)$ such that $\gamma'_i(u_i)(t_1 - t_0) = \gamma_i(t_1) - \gamma_i(t_0)$, therefore for all $t \approx t_0$,

$$\gamma'(t) \approx \frac{1}{t_1 - t_0}(\gamma(t_1) - \gamma(t_0)).$$

This means that $st(\gamma'(t))$ is parallel to $st(\frac{1}{t_1 - t_0}(\gamma(t_1) - \gamma(t_0)))$, in other words, if l is the secant line through $\gamma(t_0), \gamma(t_1)$, we must have $st(l)$ tangent to $\bar{\gamma}$ at $st(t_0)$. In particular, we have that L is tangent to $\bar{\gamma}$ at some point of $\bar{\gamma}$. Thus $f(L) \leq g(L)$ whenever L is transverse to $\bar{\gamma}$ and not infinitesimally close to $\gamma(0), \gamma(1)$. We have shown that $f|_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and g agree almost everywhere, thus the conclusion follows. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ be a definable curve with $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded image, γ' finite and $\gamma''(x)$ finite for $x \not\approx 0, 1$. Suppose that $\bar{\gamma}$ is an embedded C^1 curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then,*

$$\text{length}(\gamma) = \int_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |\gamma \cap L| dL.$$

Proof. $\bar{\gamma}$ is an embedded curve, so by the Cauchy-Crofton formula

$$\text{length}(\bar{\gamma}) = \int_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |\bar{\gamma} \cap L| dL.$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\text{length}(\gamma) = \text{length}(\bar{\gamma})$, so the corollary follows immediately from lemma 4.2. $\square$

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ be a definable, injective curve with $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded image. Suppose that $0 = a_0 < \dots < a_k = 1$ is a partition of $[0, 1]$ such that:

Each restriction $\gamma|_{(a_i, a_{i+1})}$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, has a reparametrization α_i with α'_i finite, $\alpha''_i(x)$ finite for $x \not\approx 0, 1$, and $\bar{\alpha}_i$ an embedded C^1 curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (theorem 3.3 guarantees that such a partition

always exists). Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |\alpha_i \cap L| dL = \int_{AG_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |\gamma \cap L| dL.$$

Therefore we can define

$$\text{length}(\gamma) := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{length}(\alpha_i),$$

and this is independent of the partition and reparametrization chosen. We thus have:

Corollary 4.4. (*Cauchy-Crofton formula for the Berarducci-Otero length*) *Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ be a definable, injective curve with $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded image. Then,*

$$\text{length}(\gamma) = \int_{AGr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |\gamma \cap L| dL.$$

5. LENGTH IN DEFINABLE FAMILIES OF CURVES

We now prove that there is a bound on the lengths of the curves in a $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded definable family. We conclude by using this result to prove that cells have the Whitney arc property.

Definition 5.1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}^n$, $B \subset \mathbb{F}^m$ be definable sets. Let $\lambda \subset A \times ([0, 1] \times B) \subset \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^{1+m}$ be a definable set such that for every $x \in A$, the fiber over x*

$$\lambda_x := \{y \in [0, 1] \times B : (x, y) \in \lambda\}$$

*is a curve $\lambda_x : [0, 1] \rightarrow B$. We view λ as describing the family of curves $\{\lambda_x\}_{x \in A}$. Such a family is a **definable family of curves** (in B , parametrized by A).*

Definition 5.2. *A definable $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded set $A \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ satisfies the **Whitney arc property** if there is a number $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for every $x, y \in A$ there is a definable curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$ with $\gamma(0) = a$, $\gamma(1) = b$ and $\text{length}(\gamma) \leq K|x - y|$.*

Proposition 5.3. *If $A \subset \mathbb{F}^m$ is definable and definably connected, then there is a definable family of injective curves $\lambda \subset A^2 \times ([0, 1] \times A)$ such that for every $a, a' \in A$, $\lambda_{(a, a')}(0) = a$, $\lambda_{(a, a')}(1) = a'$, and $\lambda_{(a, a')}$ is piecewise C^1 .*

Proof. We use induction on m . The case $m = 1$ is trivial. For $m > 1$, assume first that A is a cell. By induction, we may assume that A is an open cell in $\mathbb{F}^m$, for, if A itself is not open, then A is the graph of a function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$, $U \subset \mathbb{F}^{m-1}$, and we may lift the paths in U to paths in A by using g . Let C be the projection of A into $\mathbb{F}^{m-1}$ so that $A = (f, g)_C$ for some definable functions f, g on C . By induction there is a definable family of curves Λ in C with the required property. Assume that f, g take values in $\mathbb{F}$ (the other cases are handled similarly). Let $(y, r), (z, s) \in A$ with $y, z \in C$. We first connect (y, r) to $(y, (f(y) + g(y))/2)$ by a vertical path in A . The path $\Lambda_{(y, z)}$ in C connecting y and z lifts to the path

$$t \rightarrow (\Lambda_{(y, z)}(t), (f(\Lambda_{(y, z)}(t)) + g(\Lambda_{(y, z)}(t)))/2)$$

connecting $(y, (f(y) + g(y))/2)$ to $(z, (f(z) + g(z))/2)$. The last point can be connected to (z, s) by a vertical path in A . Concatenating these three paths, we get a path $\lambda_{((y, r), (z, s))}$ in A connecting (y, r) and (z, s) . The collection of these paths constitutes the required definable family.

In the general case, since A is definably connected, we can write it as the union of cells $C_1, \dots, C_k$, where for $i < k$ either C_i intersects the closure of C_{i+1} , or C_{i+1} intersects the closure of C_i ([2] Chapter 3, (2.19)). By definable choice ([2] Chapter 6, (1.2)), we can definably pick an element $e(C_i, C_{i+1})$ in $C_i \cap \overline{C_{i+1}}$ (if $C_i \cap \overline{C_{i+1}} \neq \emptyset$) and a definable curve $\gamma_i : (0, \epsilon] \rightarrow C_{i+1}$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma_i(t) = e(C_i, C_{i+1})$. Combining this with the fact that the result was already proved for cells we get the desired family λ . $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\lambda \subset A \times ([0, 1] \times B) \subset \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^{m+1}$ be a definable and $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded family of injective curves. Then there is a $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for any $x \in A$, $\text{length}(\lambda_x) \leq K$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \subset A \times ([0, 1] \times B) \subset \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^{m+1}$ be a definable family of curves. By [2], Chapter 3, (3.6), there is a natural number N such that for any affine $(m-1)$ -plane $L \subset \mathbb{F}^m$ and $x \in A$, if $L \cap \lambda_x$ is finite then it contains at most N points. Let

$$\Lambda := \bigcup_{x \in A} \lambda_x([0, 1]).$$

Take $x \in A$, then by Corollary 4.4,

$$\text{length}(\lambda_x) = \int_{AGr_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)} |\lambda_x \cap L| dL \leq \int_{L \cap \lambda_x \neq \emptyset} N dL = N \int_{L \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset} dL.$$

The last integral is finite since λ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded, thus

$$K := N \int_{L \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset} dL$$

is the required constant. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *If $A \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is definable, $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded, and definably connected, then there is a definable family of injective curves $\lambda \subset A^2 \times ([0, 1] \times A)$ and $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for any pair of points $x, y \in A$, $\lambda_{(x,y)}$ is a piecewise C^1 curve in A joining x and y with $\text{length}(\lambda_{(x,y)}) \leq K$.*

For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, \infty)^n$. The α -box centered at a is the open box

$$B(a, \alpha) := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \in (a_i - \frac{\alpha_i}{2}, a_i + \frac{\alpha_i}{2}) \right\}.$$

In what follows we use the max norm in $\mathbb{F}^n$, that is for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$, $|x| := \max\{|x_i| : i = 1, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ be a cell. If A is $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded, then there is a $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a definable family of injective curves $\gamma \subset A^2 \times [0, 1] \times A$ such that for $x, y \in A$, $\gamma_{x,y}(0) = x$, $\gamma_{x,y}(1) = y$, and $\text{length}(\gamma_{x,y}) \leq K|x - y|$. In particular A has the Whitney arc property.*

Proof. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{>0}$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}^n$ let $f_{a,\lambda} : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ be the dilation about a , that is $f_{a,\lambda}(x) = \lambda(x - a) + a$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{R}}^n$. For every $a \in A$ and $\delta \in (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{F}$, the set $B(a, \delta\alpha) \cap A$ is a cell and therefore is definably connected. For $a \in A$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ define

$$B_{\delta,a} := f_{a,1/\delta}(B(a, \delta\alpha) \cap A).$$

This set is $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded. Also, $B_{\delta,a}$ is definably connected since $B(a, \delta\alpha) \cap A$ is. By Proposition 5.3, there is a definable family of curves $\lambda^{\delta,a} \subset B_{\delta,a}^2 \times ([0, 1] \times B_{\delta,a})$ such that for $b, b' \in B_{\delta,a}$, $\lambda_{b,b'}^{\delta,a}$ is piecewise C^1 , $\lambda_{b,b'}^{\delta,a}(0) = b$ and $\lambda_{b,b'}^{\delta,a}(1) = b'$. Consider

$$\lambda := \{(\delta, a, x, y, \epsilon, z) \in ((0, 1) \times A \times (\mathbb{F}^n)^2) \times ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{F}^n) : (x, y, \epsilon, z) \in \lambda^{\delta,a}\}.$$

This is a definable and $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded family of curves. Thus by Lemma 5.4, there is a $K_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $a \in A$, and $b, b' \in B_{\delta,a}$, $\text{length}(\lambda_{b,b'}^{\delta,a}) \leq K_1$.

Similarly, by Corollary 5.5 there is a definable family of curves $\Lambda \subset A^2 \times ([0, 1] \times A)$ and $K_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for each $x, y \in A$, $\Lambda_{x,y} : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$ is a piecewise C^1 curve in A joining x and y , and $\text{length}(\Lambda_{x,y}) \leq K_2$.

Now let x, y be distinct points in A , and assume that $|x - y| < \min\{\alpha_j/3\}$. Let

$$\delta := \frac{3|x - y|}{\min\{\alpha_j\}}, \quad y' := f_{x,1/\delta}(y) = \frac{1}{\delta}(y - x) + x.$$

Then $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and for any j ,

$$|x_j - y_j| < \frac{3}{2} \frac{\alpha_j}{\min\{\alpha_j\}} |x - y| = \frac{\delta \alpha_j}{2}.$$

Thus, $y \in B(x, \delta \alpha)$, that is, $y' \in B_{\delta, x}$. Consider the curve $\lambda_{x, y'}^{\delta, x}$ in $B_{\delta, x}$, joining x and y' , and let $\gamma_{x, y} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ be defined by

$$\gamma_{x, y}(t) := f_{x, \delta}(\lambda_{x, y'}^{\delta, x}(t)) = \delta(\lambda_{x, y'}^{\delta, x}(t) - x) + x.$$

Then $\gamma_{x, y}(t)$ is a curve in A joining x and y , and moreover,

$$\text{length}(\gamma_{x, y}) = \delta \text{length}(\lambda_{x, y'}^{\delta, x}) \leq \delta K_1 = \frac{3K_1}{\min\{\alpha_j\}} |x - y|.$$

Now assume that $|x - y| \geq \frac{1}{3} \min\{\alpha_j\}$, and let $\gamma_{x, y} := \Lambda_{x, y}$. Then γ is a curve in A joining x and y and

$$\text{length}(\gamma_{x, y}) \leq \frac{K_2}{|x - y|} |x - y| \leq \frac{3K_2}{\min\{\alpha_j\}} |x - y|.$$

The collection of curves $\gamma_{x, y}$ constitutes the required definable family.

$$K := \frac{3 \max\{K_1, K_2\}}{\min\{\alpha_j\}},$$

is the required constant. □

As an immediate consequence we have:

Corollary 5.7. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ be a definable and $\mathbb{Q}$ -bounded set. Then any cell in a cell decomposition of A satisfies the Whitney arc property.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Berarducci, M. Otero. An additive measure in o-minimal expansions of fields. The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 55 (2004), no. 4, 411–419.
- [2] L. van den Dries, *Tame Topology and o-minimal Structures*, no. 248 in LMS Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [3] R. Howard. The kinematic formula in Riemannian homogeneous spaces. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, no. 509.
- [4] K. Kurdyka. On a subanalytic stratification satisfying a Whitney property with exponent 1. Real algebraic geometry proceedings (Rennes, 1991), 316–322, Lecture Notes in Math., 1524, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [5] W. Pawlucki. Lipschitz Cell Decomposition in O-Minimal Structures. I. RAAG preprint server 2007. <http://www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/raag/>
- [6] E. Vasquez Rifo, Geometric partitions of definable sets and the Cauchy-Crofton formula, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53704, August 2006.
- [7] H. Whitney. Functions differentiable on the boundaries of regions. Ann. of Math. (2) 35 (1934), no. 3, 482–485.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455,
E-mail address: evasquez@math.umn.edu